

Washington, 10th May, 1832.

Sir,—I have seen with regret, in a letter from your correspondent at this place, an unwarrantable attack on Col. Richard M. Johnson, of Kentucky. His course, throughout the whole investigation, was strictly honourable and uninfluenced by any particular transactions with the Bank of the U. S. He was uniformly in favour of full enquiry into the proceedings of the Bank and of introducing into the Report every matter of sufficient public importance, and every transaction the propriety of which might be questioned. Col. Johnson and myself concurred in every amendment to Judge Clayton's Report.

Your correspondent has also done injustice to Mr. McDuffie, whose course was, as it is always, fair and honorable.

I must correct another error—whatever opinions the members of the committee may entertain of some of the transactions of the Bank of the U. S. it is proper to correct the impression that Messrs. Thos Biddle & Co. were improperly favoured by the President of the Bank—such was not the opinion of the members of the committee generally. They consider that the Bank consulted its own interest. A majority of the Committee doubted the policy of such large operations with any one house, as opposed to the general interests of trade. I am, very respectfully,

Your most ob't. ser'vt.

C. C. CAMBERLENG.

*F. Y. Standard.*