

☐ We find by the *Augusta papers* that Messrs. Clayton, Jones, Owens, Stewart, Harris, Haynes, Gamble, Terrell, Watson, and Wayne, candidates for Congress, have responded to the call of the *Augusta meeting*—and in some shape or other given their sentiments to the people on the subject of Nullification.—The eight latter gentlemen, without mincing the matter—without entering into an elaborate argument to prove the difference between “tweedle dum and tweedle dee,” came out openly and repudiated Nullification. Not so the two former gentlemen. They have, without denying the charge of Nullification urged against them, attempted behind a multiplicity of words, and the skirts of Thomas Jefferson’s coat, to screen themselves from the obloquy of an open and candid avowal of their sentiments. Why did not these gentlemen come out at once? The question was a simple one, and might have been answered in a half dozen words. They were not called on to defend the doctrines of Virginia or of Mr. Jefferson, or of South Carolina, but simply to state whether or not they believed

**in the doctrine of Nullification, as professed in South Carolina.**

**We will endeavour hereafter to find a place for their letters in our paper.**

