

*The Two New Parties.*—In these days of political degeneracy, when the minds of our sages have become so superlatively enlightened, that they are able to put "two constructions" upon every thing that can be said or written, even upon what appears to plain, common people, truths or doctrines the most positive and palpable, it is no wonder that blunt, honest folks like ourselves, should sometimes be in doubt as to what party they belong to, or where to look for leaders who think like themselves. The reflection, however, is gratifying, that in this age of uncertainty there are some things that we positively do know. We know that in "auld land syne" we did belong to that party which advocated the opinions and policy sanctioned and pursued by the never-to-be-forgotten Geo. M. Troup; we know that to the best of our understanding and the utmost extent of our abilities, we advocated the good old fashioned doctrines of State Rights, as contended for by him and his distinguished associates: we know that we have opposed the modern heresy of nullification, as preached and attempted to be practised in South Carolina; and we further know that to this course and these doctrines we still mean to adhere. They are the old doctrines of our party, from which we will not depart, let our friends or our enemies turn and change as they may. Once we knew with whom we acted, but a new era has taken place in the political world; portions of the two old parties have become amalgamated, from which two new ones seem to have been formed, (at least at Milledgeville,) with new leaders and new names. Many who have all their lives heretofore, been the most bitter opponents of each other, now go hand in hand; and many old and long tried friends, are now alienated in principle and feeling, perhaps forever. Amid all this confusion of names, this war of words, this splitting of ranks, we hardly know where to find ourselves, and we doubt not that many of our readers are by this time in a like predicament. Last week we published the proceedings and gave the confession of faith, of a meeting of the "State Right's Party of Georgia," and today we present the proceedings of a like meeting of the "Union Democratic Republican Party of Georgia." It will be seen that both these assemblages profess to believe in the doctrines contained in the celebrated Virginia Resolutions, and in the principles held by the illustrious Jefferson—thus in cardinal points of belief, being identically the same. Yet in many points they disagree, and in feeling at least, appear to be perfect antipodes to each other. We, too, profess to believe in the principles set forth in the Virginia and Kentucky Resolutions; we go for "State Right's" and for "Union;" who, then, are to be our associates? In this dilemma we have but one course left us to steer, and be consistent—that we shall pursue. We shall watch with all due scrutiny, the movements of both parties in the Legislature, and we shall from time to time comment upon the actings and doings of each, and we trust that by the end of the session, developments enough will have been made to show the people *really and truly*, what they believe, and what they intend to do. If both are consistent with their professions, we shall, as it regards first principles, have in fact but one party—if either should be inconsistent with said professions, with that one we are not in good fellowship.