

*From the Standard of Union.*

We scarcely open a paper from the opposition, but we find something about Judge Schley's federalism—Oh! shocking to relate, he *was* a huge federalist some twenty or thirty years ago—He opposed Mr. Jefferson's administration, and went might and main against the war.

Gentlemen! look to your own ranks, and tell us, whether you have not among you, some men high in your confidence, who were most deadly hostile to Mr. Jefferson, Mr. Madison, and the war to boot. Was Judge Berrien a federalist in those days? was Judge Clayton never a federalist? Are they not republicans now, according to your standard, and do you not regard them as being among your first and greatest men? How did you receive them? Did you demand of them a confession of faith, after due repentance for their sins? We presume not. They were received with open arms, just as you would have been glad to receive Judge Schley, and thousands of Union men; asking no questions—and if Judge Schley were to go over to-day and renounce the Union cause, he would be hailed with acclamation, and at once baptized into the fold as a pure and immaculate disciple of the "State Rights" creed.

But why defend him against their charges of federalism, when they have long since applied to every Union man in the State, the odious name of Tory? They began first by calling us Clark men, then federalists, and lastly tories. Yes, the whole Union party have been branded with this foul epithet. Is it any wonder then, that the men whom we put forward for office, should be called federalists?

Have they stopped at this? No. We have been publicly called the "*free negro party.*" And after all this, is there one Union man in Georgia, who will listen to, or be misled by any thing they may say about our candidates? Not one we trust.

They have denounced that sound old patriot Andrew Jackson, as a tyrant—usurper, and traitor; is it wonderful then, that they should call Wm. Schley a federalist or that they should cast the same imputations upon any of our candidates.

Union men will judge for themselves, and will not look to the statements and opinions of the nullifiers, in estimating the principles of themselves or their friends.

We do not go to the nullifiers to learn what Judge Schley *was*—it is enough for us, that we know what he *is*. He *is* a republican and has proved himself true in the two past sessions of Congress, by his opposition to the Bank—to internal improvement and to all the consolidating schemes of the piebald combination. We know he entertains our principles and will carry them out—that he will maintain the rights of the States, and the rights of the General Government as laid down in the constitution, and that he will oppose every invasion of those rights, come from what quarter it may; whether from the sappers and miners of consolidation, on the one hand, or the open assaults of nullification on the other; and while they are ringing the charges upon what he *was*, we have the consolidation to know what he *is*.

We did not nominate Judge Schley to please the nullifiers, for to undertake such a task, would be utterly hopeless, as all experience proves. We never have pleased them with a single nomination which we have made since the existence of the present parties, nor have we placed a candidate before the people for any prominent office, that they have not branded as a federalist and submission man?—We know of none, nor do we believe there is a man in the whole Union ranks who would not have been scouted and abused, had he been placed where Judge Schley now stands.

Let them goon.—We heed them not; and while they are wasting their strength in fruitless efforts to traduce and undervalue the characters of our best and wisest men, we will press on to victory.