

ACCUSATIONS of Dickinson against Clayton, and Clayton versus Dickinson have lately figured in the *Augusta News-Papers*; and what has the public to do with this war of inkshed? I answer, nothing, but the whole is an electioneering trick. Mr. D. wants to be an Assembly-man, that he may display his talents and be made Attorney or Solicitor-General; and Mr. C. wants an office of profit to support his family---D. wants to make a hobby horse of C. who runs round with an intention of throwing D. at the race on Monday next.

The whole may be summed up in a few words, with a very short comment.

Mr. D. charges C. with taking in one case 7s. over his legal fee, and demanding 4s. which he did not get in another case. C. charges D. with taking 3s. illegally and even that, the property of another. Now for the comment: The law dyes the fees of the Attorney as well as the Clerk, and when an Attorney bawls about the illegality of 7s. taken by a Clerk, believe me Mr. Printer, "there is something rotten in Denmark." Has Mr. Dickinson ever refused a fee because it was more than the law allows? Let his clients answer; for my own part I consider the stomach of an Attorney for fees as strong as that of the Ostrich, which will dissolve iron.