To rerEditor of the Augusta Cino. NICLE.

HAVING observed in the Southern Centinel of the eighteenth instant, a note with remarks on the proceedings of the late grand jury of Jefferson county, which contains matter derogatory of truth; as members of that jury, we feel it our daty to make known to the public the glaring falfehoods therein contained, and therefore request you to publish the following observations thereon.

First. We say that however apparent a general fatisfaction may have been to the writer of that note, on the delivery of the charge, we neither discovered it in the countenances, or ever heard one approbatory fentence of it uttered either in

or out of the grand jury.

Secondly. That it was proposed and not objected to, that there should be no party prefentments, may be true; but to have entered into any fuch determination would have been equally improper and unnecessary; the oath we had taken having prescribed our limits; and that the grand jury agreed to enter on none but county business is false and would certainly have

been contrary to our oaths.

Thirdly. That any individual interview took place between the governor, and any member whose name is hereunto fubscribed, (either previous to the meeting or during the leskon of the court on the subject of the concluding paragraph of our presentments or any other subject directly or indirectly relating thereto) is a wilful

and malicious falsehood.

Fourthly. Notwithstanding all the licen discernments of the writer of that note, he has certainly mistaken the defeent if not the features of the child, and that his mind is incapable of comprehend. ing what interference and party is, there can be no doubt, or he certainly must have discovered them in the judge's charge to that jury, which, however, we observe, his honor has been careful to publish only in part.

The author of that illiberal note has prisently withheld his name, and given us no other criterion by which we can judge of his merit but from that performarfee; he must therefore he too contemptible to enquire after, or fome of us might feel outlelves disposed to notice him in fome other way, as well as in a confuta-

We are further confirmined to observe to the public, that we fhould have felt more injured by the remarks contained in that note, as well as by the judge's charge, but from our observing a similarity in his charges (and no doubt for fimilar purpofes). From the commencement of the prefent circuit we find he has been uniform in his attacks on the late as well as all preceeding grand juries for feveral years past, which makes our case but a common one with our fellow-citizens.

James Parsons, John Raiford, Joseph Barber, John Harrington, Thomas Neely, Blafs. Harvey,

ofeph Chairs, Benjamin Browning, Bird Tarver, James Spivy, Peter Chaftine,

Vinfon Rowell, Mathew Cafwell, David Jameson, John Chements, Benja. Dalley, Z. Lamar, Abner Hammond, mes Spivy, John Jacob Schley, ter Chaftine, John Kennedy. Note. It will be observed, every mem-

her of the jury who figned the prefent-ments have subscribed to the above, except Mr. Philip Clayton.

Louifoille, '30th April, 1799.