ATHENS, May 23d, 1817.
TO B. HALL. Esq.
Str.—Your rage for writing places correction at defiance, and you have boldly fra Str.—Your rage for writing places correction at defiance, and you have boldly ventured one more proof upon the public that the sportiveness of your pen is at the sacrifice of your judgment, and if it were not a misapplication of the term. I would say, at the expence of your feelings.—From the caution with which you commence your second voyage, something whispers me you are prepared for a longer cruise than the one which preceded your last summer's unlucky wreck. But it is my purpose to put a period to the necessity of any further progress, at least in the mode you have selected, and to that end I give you the opinion of a writer, whose principles, if you be the republican you have so often boasted, you can never too much admire; that when "charracters of private (or public) men are "insulted or injured, a double remedy "is open to them, by action and indictment. If, through indolence, false shame, or indifference, they will not appeal to the laws of their country, "they fail in their duty to society, and are unjust to themselves. If from an "unwarrantable distrust of the integrity of Juries, they would wish to obtain tha fro fed ve sh SC ne un tu pl lic po yo an th of w st "are unjust to themselves. If from an unwarrantable distrust of the integrity of Juries, they would wish to obtain justice by any mode of proceeding more "summary than a trial by their peers, I "do not scruple to affirm, that they are in effect greater enemies to themselves "that to the libeller they prosecute." The inference which it is designed you should draw from this quotation, I presume, is too plain to need further explanation. It is perhaps now due to you to give the reasons for such a course. The trial by newspaper is even worse than that by battle, for he who is boldest in assertion and ranges the widest of truth is the surest of success—what hope then would be left to me in the present confrequently not only render themselves ridiculous, but by "adding one invective to another," often "reach the extremities" of passion, where reason and folly lose their distinction. But I have a more serious reason for the direction which I wish to give to our affair, than any mentioned. Your address "To the People of Georgia" in August last, must be fairly considered as a wanton attack upon the whole community, at least those who differed in opinion, not from you, for I will do you the justice to say, that he who holds both sides of a question has, no opinion at all, but from those whom you are pleased to term your friends, and who were the supporters of the compensation law—when therefore a whole people were enjoying the quiet, and until then an undisturbed right, if not to complain, at least to think as they pleased on a subject which so nearly concerned them; you could not but suppose that some one of them would attempt to answer sentiments designed to unsettle the proper relation between the representative and the constituent, and calculated to place the right of opinion at the feet of the former. You could not but suppose that, when you were mingling with your odious doctrines, expressions of abuse still more obnoxious and designing acconomists, brawling dema goues, political apostates, tories and federalists" p ph yd old seye

fe

n The st F

> 1 -

Ware to the think of the terms Jybonrn nti ac